	Application No	о.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/891,559		POCIUS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Cathy Lam		1775	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Cathy Lam.	(3)			
(2) Atty: Alan Ball.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>25 August 2003</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: al pending.				
Identification of prior art discussed: pf record.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant requested the method claims to be rejoined; the examiner will consider rejoining the method claims providing the method of making the product is fully commensurate in scope with the product. Applicant explained that the insulating coating is electrodeposited onto only the conductive traces.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the ame			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
				·
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Ē	xaminer's sign	ature, if required	